

AO 247 (02/08) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Virginia

United States of America

v.

Pierre Clark

Date of Previous Judgment: May 19, 2000

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

Case No: 4:99CR70049-007USM No: 08244-084

Defendant's Attorney

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT
AT LYNCHBURG, VA
FILED
APR 04 2008
*For D. Valle*JOHN F. CORCORAN, CLERK
BY: *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK**Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 135 months is **reduced to** 120 months.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)Previous Offense Level: 31Amended Offense Level: 29Criminal History Category: IIICriminal History Category: IIIPrevious Guideline Range: 135 to 168 monthsAmended Guideline Range: 120 to 135 months**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**☒ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

☐ Other (explain):**III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

The Government has objected to a reduction based on aspects of Defendant's pre- and post-sentencing conduct. Finding that the pre-sentencing conduct is adequately accounted for under the amended guideline range and that the post-sentencing conduct is adequately addressed by Bureau of Prisons procedures, and upon consideration of the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) and U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10, the Government's objections are overruled and Defendant's sentence is reduced as provided above.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 5/19/2000 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.Order Date: April 4, 2008

[Signature]

Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Norman K. Moon, United States District Judge

Printed name and title